UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 4:14 CR 187 JAR / DDN
PAMELA TABATT, et al.,)
Defendants.))

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendants have previously filed various unopposed motions requesting an extension of time within which to file pretrial motions in these four related cases: <u>United States v. Rao</u>, 4:14CR0150 JAR/TCM; <u>United States v. Sloan</u>, 4:14:CR0152 RWS/NCC; <u>United States v. Palmer</u>, 4:14CR0175 AGF/DDN; and <u>United States v. Tabatt</u>, 4:14CR0187 JAR/DDN. An order was entered in each of the four above-listed cases requiring that all pretrial motions be filed by November 17, 2014. In each case, Defendants' motions to continue the time within which to file pretrial motions were granted,¹ but due to the uncertainty of when the Government would complete its production of discovery and to the volume of that discovery, a specific date by which Defendants had to file their pretrial motions was not set. Since the motions to continue were granted, the Court has twice met with counsel for Defendants and for the Government.² Because the Government has made progress in providing Defendants

¹See Rao, 4:14CR0150 JAR/TCM [Doc. 142]; Sloan, 4:14:CR0152 RWS/NCC [Doc. 210]; Palmer, 4:14CR0175 AGF/DDN [Doc. 114]; and Tabatt, 4:14CR0187 JAR/DDN [Doc. 76].

²These conferences occurred on December 18, 2014, and January 8, 2015.

with discovery, the Court will set a deadline by which Defendants are to file (1) any motion

to dismiss the indictments and (2) any motion that does *not* require testimony.

Also, a deadline by which Defendants must file any other motion that possibly might

require an evidentiary hearing will be set at a later date.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the previous motions to continue time to file

motions and the Court's previous orders, the Court finds that to deny Defendants' request for

additional time would deny counsel for Defendants the reasonable time necessary for an

effective investigation and preparation of pretrial motions, taking into account the exercise

of due diligence, see 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), and that the ends of justice served by

granting Defendants' requests for additional time outweigh the best interest of the public and

Defendant in a speedy trial, see 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Therefore, the time granted to

Defendants to investigate and prepare pretrial motions, or a waiver thereof, is excluded from

computation of Defendants' rights to a speedy trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants shall have up to and including May 1,

2015, to file any (1) motions to dismiss the indictment and (2) motions that do not require

testimony. The Government shall have up to and including **June 12, 2015**, by which to file

its response.

/S/ David D. Noce

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Signed on January 12, 2015.

- 2 -